

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,024	10/16/2001	John Kouvetakis	9138-0064CIP	6703
7	590 04.30-2003			
Kittie Murray			EXAMINER	
Gallagher & K 2575 E. Camel	back Road		ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
Phoenix, AZ	85016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mK-6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/981,024	KOUVETAKIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by setting the period for reply will.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 	mailing date of this communication, even if tir	nely filed, may reduce any
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 October 2001 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	· ·	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the applic		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or alaction requirement	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and Application Papers	a/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application has be	en received.
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	8) 5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 14-20, 34, drawn to method, classified in class 117, subclass 84.
 - II. Claims 12, 21-24, 35, 45 drawn to product, classified in class 428, subclass 698.
 - III. Claims 13, 25-30, 36-37, 39, 40-42, drawn to device, classified in class 257, subclass 9.
 - IV. Claims 31-33, drawn to precursor gas, classified in class 423, subclass 369.
 - V. Claims 43-44, drawn to superhard coating, classified in class 428, subclass 691.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed could be made by the materially different process of MOCVD.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed could be made by the materially different process of MOCVD.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as hydrogen or cyanide source gas. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make the materially different product of a semiconducting film.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024

Art Unit: 1765

S 906 04(b)

Page 4

intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a buffer layer and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the precursor gas has a different mode of operation since it is a different phase than the film.
- 8. Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the electrical thin film has a different effect than the superhard coating.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024 Page 5
Art Unit: 1765

9. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the precursor gas has a different mode of operation since it is a different phase than the film.

- 10. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the electrical device has a different mode of operation than a superhard film.
- 11. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the precursor gas has the different mode of operation since it is a different phase than the coating.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024 Page 6

Art Unit: 1765

13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

14. A telephone call was made to Kittie Murray on 10/02/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,024

Art Unit: 1765

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA April 29, 2003 BENJAMIN L. UTECH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY OF MEET 1700